(SPACE BELOW FOR FILING STAMP ONLY)

ROBERT H. BABIE ATTORNEY AT LAW SECURITY PACIFIC PLAZA 1200 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 1700 BAN 0HEBO, CALIFORNIA 93101 (714) 233-1700

6 1580

Attorney for Plaintiff

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

CINEMATRONICS, INC., a California corporation,

Plaintiff.

VECTORBEAM, a California corporation; EXIDY, INCORPORATED, a California corporation; and DOES I through X, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 451437

DECLARATION OF JAMES PIERCE

I, JAMES PIERCE, declare:

I

I am President of CINEMATRONICS, INC. the plaintiff herein.

I have personal knowledge of the following and if called as a witness could testify competently thereto.

II

Gilbert J. Levine was the former General Manager and Chief Financial Officer of VECTORBEAM. On or about October 4, 1979 Mr.

1 2

3 4

5

6

8

~

9

11

12

v.

13

15 16

17

18

19

21 22

23

24

25

Levine tendered his written resignation as both Chief Financial Officer and General Manager of VECTORBEAM. His resignation was accepted by the Board of Directors and thereafter his employment pursuant to his contract was terminated. No further contracts with Mr. Levine were entered into. A copy of said resignation, designated EXHIBIT "A", is attached hereto and by reference made a part hereof as if fully set forth herein at length.

Mr. Kauffman sets forth in his Declaration that Mr. Levine is currently making a claim against EXIDY and/or VECTORBEAM pursuant to an employment contract. As is obvious from EXHIBIT "A" Mr. Levine terminated his services immediately prior to the sale of VECTORBEAM to EXIDY. I do not know upon what basis Mr. Levine could make a claim for wages pursuant to his contract, if he is making one at all. Mr. Kauffman provides no evidence of such claim with his Declaration.

Defendants' counsel sets forth in his statement of facts that CINEMATRONICS gave a written indemnification to EXIDY regarding the purported Levine employment contract. There is attached to the pleading a photocopy of a handwritten document apparently signed by Mr. Kauffman and Mr. DeCaro which document I have never seen and I have no knowledge of As President of CINEMATRONICS and a member of the Board of Directors I can state truthfully that such indemnification was never authorized to enter into any such agreement on behalf of CINEMATRONICS.

1 Mr. Kauffman sets forth in his Declaration that he has made 8 several requests of CINEMATRONICS to execute a subordination 3 agreement lowering the priority of our obligations in relation to 5 their receivable financing through Bank of America. Mr. Kauffman 6 neglects to inform the Court that he has never made a tender of 7 funds which would satisfy the balance due. Mr. Kauffman has 8 demanded that we sign the subordination agreement and subsequent 9 to the signing thereof he will pay the funds. Mr. Kauffman is 10 currently in arrears on the purchase money note in excess of 11 FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$500,000) on the purchase and sale of VECTORBEAM, he has failed and refused to provide proper and 12 acceptable accounting for the number of units sold since his compar 13 14 has operated VECTORBEAM and he then expects me to execute a 15. subordination agreement weakening substantially our creditor 16 position in exchange for a mere promise to pay the funds which 17 he is already obligated to do. I refuse to execute the subordination 18 agreement and continue to do so until EXIDY and VECTORBEAM bring 19 current all payments under both the promissory note, and the 20 patent license agreement together with a complete accounting of 21 all units sold. I believe I have acted as any other reasonable 22 business man would have in the same situation. Defendants' counsel 23 in his memorandum of law stresses the fact that our refusal to 24 sign the subordination agreement was in flagrant violation of the 25 license contract. No comment is made though on the neglect and 26 refusal of VECTORBEAM and/or EXIDY to provide a complete accounting 27 of all units sold under the license agreement or their own refusal

28

to honor their obligation under the purchase money promissory note.

IV

Mr. Kauffman indicates in his Declaration that EXIDY had no opportunity to perform an inventory on the assets of VECTORBEAM. Moreover, it is stated that CINEMATRONICS maintained to EXIDY that a minimal amount of inventory was obsolete and/or unusable.

Mr. Kauffman's statement is a lie. On December 1, 1979 Mr. Kauffman accompanied by the accountant for EXIDY together with three (3) or four (4) employees spent four (4) to five (5) hours very carefully taking inventory all the assets of VECTORBEAM. Prior to this CINEMATRONICS offered at its own expense to have Rhodes and DeWitt perform a certified inventory for the benefit of EXIDY but Mr. Kauffman indicated that by reason of the fact that VECTORBEAM was only fifteen (15) miles from the office of EXIDY and since they were both in the same business i.e. manufacturing video games his employees could produce an inventory quicker and at a much lesser cost than Rhodes and DeWitt. In furtherance of this agreement the above described inventory was carried out.

At all times when CINEMATRONICS owned VECTORBEAM we had month-end inventories. The month-end inventory for November, 1979 matched the inventory that EXIDY performed on December 1, 1979 except for some minor variances which would be expected in this sort of situation. After performing the inventory neither Mr. Kauffman, his accountant nor any of the employees made any comment

regarding any obsolescense of any assets. Mr. Kauffman stated that the inventory was acceptable to EXIDY.

Moreover, on Page 4, Paragraph 6 of the Stock Purchase Agreement the parties set forth as follows:

"However, if after the close, and before March 1, 1980, EXIDY believes that adjustments are necessary based on an overstatement of the accounts receivable, or a material omission of notes payable or accounts payable and/or significant inventory shortages, then EXIDY shall so advise CINEMATRONICS, specifying the reasons and grounds for the proposed adjustments. The parties agree that adjustments plus or minus Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$50,000) are not material and adjustments based on inventory 'write downs' (not based on physical shortages) will not be applicable to any valuation adjustment for the purposes of this agreement."

As set forth above there shall be no adjustments based upon inventory "write downs". Write downs is an industry-wide term meaning equipment which may or may not be obsolete. In the video game industry a new game may reach its peak popularity in five (5) or six (6) weeks and thereafter decline. The total commercial life span of video games is usually measured in months. As a result it is incumbent upon manufacturers in our industry to constantly produce new games and new ideas. As a result of the above various parts which are necessary for the manufacture of one (1) game would be unusable for a new game being manufactured several months later. In that limited sense these parts may be deemed "obsolete". Several months thereafter the company may be producing an entirely new game where these particular parts will be required and they will no longer be deemed obsolete therefore the term obsolescense is very difficult to apply to our industry.

ROBERT M. BASIC AFFORMEY AT LAW BEURITY PACIFIC PLAZE 1809 THIRD AVENUE SUITE 1700 BAN DIEGO. CALIFORNIA B Z 101

Additionally, if we manufacture a particular game it is necessary under the various licensing agreements that we maintained a parts supply for the repair of such games therefore even though parts are not necessary in the manufacturing process since the particular game is no longer being produced it is necessary that these parts be retained for the purposes of repair and maintenance.

With the above in mind that it was agreed upon by and between the parties to the Stock Purchase Agreement that there would be no adjustments based upon inventory write downs. Defendants now come before the Court claiming that a good portion of the inventory of VECTORBEAM is obsolete. Their claims should not be considered by the Court because first they took inventory of all the physical assets of the corporation prior to purchasing same and second by agreement obsolescense is not a proper basis to request an adjustment to the contract.

V

Defendants and their counsel are attempting to lead the Court to believe that CINEMATRONICS defrauded EXIDY by selling them a business consisting of obsolete assets and extensive undisclosed financial commitments. I must direct the Court's attention to Mr. Kauffman's Declaration wherein he states that since EXIDY purchased VECTORBEAM up until April 30, 1980, a period of four (4) months, VECTORBEAM has sold eight hundred seventy-three (873) units of Tailgunner II which produced net sales in the amount of ONE MILLION EIGHT HUNDRED TWENTY-NINE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$1,829,000).

BORRET M PARKE ATTORNET AT LAW OFFICE ATTORNESS BUFFE ATTORNESS BUFFE ATTORNESS 00001 971UP

1

Additionally there are approximately one hundred twenty-five (125) units in production soon to be available for sale for a total four (4) month production run of almost one thousand (1,000) units which should produce net sales in excess of 2.2 Million Dollars. As the former owner of VECTORBEAM and the former producer of Tailgunner. I have personal knowledge of the fact that the net profit per unit to the company is SEVEN HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$700). I had recently discussed this fact with Mr. Kauffman and he has confirmed to me that VECTORBEAM is earning a net profit of SEVEN HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$700) per unit. With eight hundred seventy-three (873) units sold and one hundred twenty-five units (125) soon to be available for sale VECTORBEAM will have a total four (4) month net return of almost SEVEN HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$700,000). CINEMATRONICS sold VECTORBEAM to EXIDY in consideration for a promissory note in face value of FIVE HUNDRED TWENTY-SIX THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED AND FORTY-TWO DOLLARS (\$526,942). In a four (4) month period of time VECTORBEAM has produced gross sales in excess of four (4) times the total purchase price of the company for a total net return almost one and one-half (1-1/2) times the purchase price. With all of these funds available to defendants they have made one (1) payment under the promissory note of THIRTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$35,000) and have made one payment under the license agreement and they have provided no accounting as required by the agreement.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is

true and correct. Dated this 6th day of May, 1980 in El Cajon, California.

JAMES PIERCE



33441 CENTRAL AVE. / UNION CITY, CA 94587 / (415) 489-2000

October 4, 1979

TO: THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF VECTORBEAN

RE: RESIGNATION OF GILBERT J. LEVINE

Gentlemen:

Effective immediately, I resign as Chief Financial Officer of Vectorbeam.

Effective as soon as you can select a replacement but in no more than thirty (30) days, I resign as General Manager.

Recently, I proposed a business plan which I believe is in the best interest of Vectorbesm and its shareholder Cinematronics. You have chosen not to adopt that plan. Hy feeling is that your program should be implemented by a Chief Financial Officer/General Manager who supports and believes in your program.

With all best vishes,

Gilbert J. Levine

GJL:ch